## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In Re: Atrium Medical Corp., C-Qur Mesh Products Liability Litigation MDL No. 2753

Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-1240
(Jury Trial Demanded)

## SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, by and through their attorneys at,
and for their Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate the Master Long Form
Complaint in MDL No. 2753 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:
1. Plaintiff
I = = 1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

Plaintiff
 James Williams

 Consortium Plaintiff
 Kimberly Williams

 Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator)
 N/A

 Current State of Residence
 Mississippi

 State of Residence at the Time of Implant (if different)
 N/A

 State of Residence at the Time of Explant (if applicable and different)
 N/A

7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing USDC for the Northern District of Mississippi - Aberdeen Division

8. Defei	ndants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):
X	A. Atrium Medical Corporation ("Atrium");
X	B. Maquet Cardiovascular US Sales, LLC ("Maquet");
Х	C. Getinge AB ("Getinge");
9. Basis	of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
X	Diversity of Citizenship
	Paragraphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie: aragraphs 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26.
Di	Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue: rect Filing - Pursuant to this Court's order, Plaintiff
ha	s authority to directly file into this Court.
10. Defe	ndants' products implanted in Plaintiff (Check products implanted in Plaintiff)
	A. C-QUR;
	B. C-QUR Mosaic;
_	B. C-QUR Mosaic;
	B. C-QUR Mosaic; C. C-QUR Edge;
X	B. C-QUR Mosaic; C. C-QUR Edge; D. C-QUR TacShield;

	- <u></u>
11. Defen	dants' Products about which Plaintiff is making a claim. (Check applicable cts)
	A. C-QUR;
	B. C-QUR Mosaic;
	C. C-QUR Edge;
	D. C-QUR TacShield;
	E. C-QUR Lite Mesh V-Patch
X	F. C-QUR Mesh V-Patch
	G. Other C-QUR mesh product;
	of Implantation as to Each Product 6/2011
	of Explant as to Each Product 8/2012
Nor	tal(s) where Plaintiff was implanted (including City and State) th Mississippi Medical Center
Tu	pelo, MS
15. Impla	nting Surgeon(s)

Ra	ymond Orgler, MD
•	ital(s) Where Plaintiff Had Explant (including City and State, if applicable)  th Mississippi Medical Center
Tu	pelo, MS
-	wt Harrison, MD
of the	ciff alleges the following injury(ies) he or she suffered as a result of the implantation is subject C-QUR mesh product.  Vere abdominal pain, digestive problems, scar tissue,
-	esh removal, infection, abscess, and other
	vere complications.
9. Count	ts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s)
X	Count I - Negligence
Х	Count II – Strict Liability – Design Defect
X	Count III – Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
Х	Count IV – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn
Х	Count V – Strict Liability – Defective Product
Х	Count VI – Breach of Express Warranty
X	Count VII – Breach of Implied Warranties of Merchantability and Fitness of Purpose
X	Count VIII – Fraudulent Concealment
X	Count IX – Constructive Fraud
Х	Count X – Discovery Rule, Tolling and Fraudulent Concealment
Х	Count XI – Negligent Misrepresentation

X	Count XII – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress			
X	Count XIII - Violation of Consumer Protection Laws			
Х	Count XIV – Gross Negligence			
Х	Count XV – Unjust Enrichment			
Х	Count XVI – Loss of Consortium			
Х	Count XVII – Punitive or Enhanced Compensatory Damages			
X	Other Miss. Code Claims (please state the facts supporting this Count under applicable state law in the space immediately below)			
	Other(please state the facts supporting this Count under applicable state law in the space immediately below)			
The below claims are supported by facts in the master complaint.				
Mississippi Product Liability Act (MPLA) Miss. Code Ann. § 11-1-63 (1993)				
Miss. Code Ann. §§ 75-24-1 et seq. (Consumer Protection Laws)				

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) demand(s) judgment against Defendants, and each of them, individually, jointly and severally and prays for the following relief in accordance with applicable law and equity:

- i. Compensatory damages to Plaintiff(s) for past, present, and future damages, including, but not limited to, pain and suffering for severe and permanent personal injuries sustained by Plaintiff(s), permanent impairment, mental pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, past and future health and medical care costs, and economic damages including past and future lost earnings and/or earning capacity, together with interest and costs as provided by law;
- ii. Restitution and disgorgement of profits;

- iii. Punitive or enhanced compensatory damages;
- iv. Reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by law;
- v. The costs of these proceedings, including past and future cost of the suit incurred herein;
- vi. All ascertainable economic damages;
- vii. Survival damages (if applicable);
- viii. Wrongful death damages (if applicable);
- ix. Prejudgment interest on all damages as is allowed by law; and
- x. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: 12/27/2018

/s/ Richard W. Schulte

Richard W. Schulte (Ohio Bar # 0066031) Wright & Schulte, LLC 865 S. Dixie Dr. Vandalia, OH 45377 P: (937) 435-7500

F: (937) 435-7511

Email: rschulte@yourlegalhelp.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs